

DOCKET NO: HHDCV116032094S

SUPERIOR COURT

DESALLE, JAMES Et Al
V.
WAL-MART STORES, INC. Et Al

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HARTFORD
AT HARTFORD

9/15/2016

ORDER

ORDER REGARDING:
08/22/2016 389.00 MOTION IN LIMINE

The foregoing, having been considered by the Court, is hereby:

ORDER: GRANTED

Having duly heard defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP's motion (#389.00) and plaintiffs' opposition thereto (#437.00), the Court grants the motion as follows. At trial the plaintiffs may not offer evidence, elicit testimony, or present argument relating to the size, net worth, market share, profitability, or other indicia of the success of Wal-Mart, as such information is not relevant to this matter and would otherwise be unfairly prejudicial. See Practice Book § 15-3; Conn. Code Evid. §§ 4-2 and 4-3. If the plaintiffs wish to raise the issue of the number of Wal-Mart tire service locations nationwide, they must do so outside the presence of the jury, so that an offer of proof can be made and a ruling on the admissibility thereof can be rendered.

435703

Judge: INGRID L MOLL