

DOCKET NO.: FST CF 15-5014808-S

SUPERIOR COURT

WILLIAM A. LOMAS,

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF  
STAMFORD/NORWALK

Plaintiff,

AT STAMFORD

versus

PARTNER WEALTH MANAGEMENT, LLC  
KEVIN G. BURNS, JAMES PRATT-HEANEY,  
AND WILLIAM P. LOFTUS,

JUNE \_\_, 2016

Defendants.

**(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'/ COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS'  
APPLICATION TO VACATE THE PREJUDGMENT REMEDY IMPOSED UPON  
THEM AND FOR A PREJUDGMENT REMEDY AGAINST THE  
PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT**

Whereas, Defendants/(soon-to-be) Counterclaim Plaintiffs in the above entitled action, pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 52-278 *et seq.* have made an application for (1) the vacatur of the prejudgment remedy imposed upon them; and (2) for the imposition of a prejudgment remedy to attach and/or garnish the goods or estates of Plaintiff/ (soon-to-be) Counterclaim Defendant, William A. Lomas (the "Application"); and

Whereas, after due notice and hearing of the Application at which Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant and Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs appeared and were fully heard, after considering the Application it being found that: (a) good cause exists to vacate the prejudgment remedy imposed upon the Defendants; and (b) that there is probable cause to sustain the validity

of Counterclaim Plaintiffs' claim and that the Application for a prejudgment remedy should be granted;

**BERCHEM, MOSES  
& DEVLIN, P.C.**  
COUNSELORS AT LAW  
75 BROAD STREET  
MILFORD, CONNECTICUT  
06460  
—  
JURIS NUMBER  
22801  
—  
(203) 783-1200

{00894576.DOCX Ver. 1}2

Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The prejudgment remedy requiring Defendants to make certain payments to William A. Lomas, whether directly or into escrow, is hereby vacated in its entirety and all money previously paid into escrow by the Defendants is to be returned to the Defendants forthwith;
2. Counterclaim Plaintiffs may attach any real property in which the Counterclaim Defendant directly and/or indirectly holds an interest as is now known or may hereafter be discovered pursuant to the accompanying motion for disclosure of assets to the value and amount of \$1,029,000.
3. Counterclaim Plaintiffs may attach any personal property or assets in which the Counterclaim Defendant directly and/or indirectly holds an interest, as is now known or may hereafter be discovered pursuant to the accompanying motion for disclosure of assets to the value and amount of \$1,029,000.
4. Counterclaim Plaintiffs may garnish any banks or other financial institutions in which the Counterclaim Defendant maintains accounts, as is now known or may hereafter be discovered pursuant to the accompanying motion for disclosure of assets to the value and amount of \$1,029,000.
5. Counterclaim Plaintiffs may garnish any third parties owing any funds to the Counterclaim Defendant, as is now known or may hereafter be discovered

pursuant to the accompanying motion for disclosure of assets to the value and amount of \$1,029,000.

6. Counterclaim Plaintiffs may garnish any agent, trustee, or debtor of the Counterclaim Defendant that has concealed in his hands the assets of the Counterclaim Defendant and that is indebted to him, as is now known or may hereafter be discovered pursuant to the accompanying motion for disclosure of assets to the value and amount of \$1,029,000.
7. Counterclaim Plaintiffs may attach any other real or personal property or assets in which the Counterclaim Defendant holds an interest, as is now known or may hereafter be discovered pursuant to the accompanying motion for disclosure of assets to the value and amount of \$1,029,000.

Dated at Stamford Connecticut, on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Donna Nelson-Heller

DOCKET NO.: FST CF 15-5014808-S

SUPERIOR COURT

WILLIAM A. LOMAS,

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF  
STAMFORD/NORWALK

Plaintiff,

AT STAMFORD

versus

PARTNER WEALTH MANAGEMENT, LLC  
KEVIN G. BURNS, JAMES PRATT-HEANEY,  
AND WILLIAM P. LOFTUS,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

The application to vacate the prejudgment remedy imposed upon the Defendants and to impose a prejudgment remedy on the Plaintiff in the above captioned action (the "Application"), having been presented to the court, it is hereby ordered, that a hearing be held thereon on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_ a.m./p.m., and that the Defendants/(soon-to-be) Counterclaim Plaintiffs give notice to the Plaintiff/(soon-to-be) Counterclaim Defendant in accordance with section 52-278c of the general statutes of the pendency of the application and of the time when it will be heard by causing a true and attested copy of the Application, affidavits, and of this order, together with such notice as is required under subsection (e) of section 52-278c, to be served upon the Plaintiff/(soon-to-be) Counterclaim Defendant by some proper officer or indifferent person on or before \_\_\_\_\_, and that due return of service be made to this court.

Dated at Hartford on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2016.

---

Clerk of the Court

**BERCHEM, MOSES  
& DEVLIN, P.C.**  
COUNSELORS AT LAW  
75 BROAD STREET  
MILFORD, CONNECTICUT  
06460  
—  
JURIS NUMBER  
22801  
—  
(203) 783-1200